

Midterm study sheet for CS3719

Turing machines and decidability.

- A Turing machine is a finite automaton plus an infinite read/write memory (tape). Formally, a Turing machine is a 6-tuple $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{accept}, q_{reject})$. Here, Q is a finite set of states as before, with three special states q_0 (start state), q_{accept} and q_{reject} . The last two are called the halting states, and they cannot be equal. Σ is a finite input alphabet. Γ is a tape alphabet which includes all symbols from Σ and a special symbol for blank, $\sqcup$. Finally, the transition function is $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$ where L, R mean move left or right one step on the tape. Also know encoding languages and Turing machines as binary strings.
- Equivalent (not necessarily efficiently) variants of Turing machines: two-way vs. one-way infinite tape, multi-tape, non-deterministic.
- *Church-Turing Thesis* Anything computable by an algorithm of any kind (our intuitive notion of algorithm) is computable by a Turing machine.
- A Turing machine M *accepts* a string w if there is an accepting computation of M on w , that is, there is a sequence of configurations (state, non-blank memory, head position) starting from q_0w and ending in a configuration containing q_{accept} , with every configuration in the sequence resulting from a previous one by a transition in δ of M . A Turing machine M *recognizes* a language L if it accepts all and only strings in L : that is, $\forall x \in \Sigma^*$, M accepts x iff $x \in L$. As before, we write $\mathcal{L}(M)$ for the language accepted by M .
- A language L is called *Turing-recognizable* (also *recursively enumerable*, *r.e.*, or *semi-decidable*) if $\exists$ a Turing machine M such that $\mathcal{L}(M) = L$. A language L is called *decidable* (or *recursive*) if $\exists$ a Turing machine M such that $\mathcal{L}(M) = L$, and additionally, M halts on all inputs $x \in \Sigma^*$. That is, on every string M either enters the state q_{accept} or q_{reject} in some point in computation. A language is called *co-semi-decidable* if its complement is semi-decidable.
- Semi-decidable languages can be described using unbounded $\exists$ quantifier over a decidable relation; co-semi-decidable using unbounded $\forall$ quantifier. There are languages that are higher in the arithmetic hierarchy than semi- and co-semi-decidable; they are described using mixture of $\exists$ and $\forall$ quantifiers; the number of alternations of quantifiers is the level in the hierarchy. In particular, the decidable predicate can be $Check_A(M, w, y)$ which is true iff y encodes an accepting computation of M on w . $Check_R$ and $Check_H$ are defined similarly for y a rejecting and a halting computation, respectively.
- If a language is both semi-decidable and co-semi-decidable, then it is decidable.
- Universal language $A_{TM} = \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid w \in \mathcal{L}(M)\} = \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid \exists y Check_A(M, w, y)\}$. A_{TM} is undecidable: proof by contradiction. Examples of undecidable languages: A_{TM} , $Halt_B$, NE (semi-decidable), $Empty$ (co-semi-decidable), $L = \{\langle M_1, w_1, M_2, w_2 \rangle \mid w_1 \in \mathcal{L}(M_1) \text{ and } w_2 \notin \mathcal{L}(M_2)\}$ *Total* (neither), three languages from the assignment.
- A *many-one* reduction: $A \leq_m B$ if exists a computable function f such that $\forall x \in \Sigma_A^*$, $x \in A \iff f(x) \in B$. To prove that B is undecidable, (not semi-decidable, not co-semi-decidable) pick A which is undecidable (not semi, not co-semi.) and reduce A to B . To prove that a language L is in a class (e.g., semi-decidable), give an algorithm (e.g., M_L).

Regular languages and finite automata:

- An *alphabet* is a finite set of symbols. Set of all finite strings over an alphabet Σ is denoted Σ^* . A *language* is a subset of Σ^* . Empty string is called ϵ (epsilon).
- *Regular expressions* are built recursively starting from $\emptyset, \epsilon$ and symbols from Σ and closing under Union ($R_1 \cup R_2$), Concatenation ($R_1 \circ R_2$) and Kleene Star (R^* denoting 0 or more repetitions of R) operations. These three operations are called regular operations.
- A Deterministic Finite Automaton (DFA) D is a 5-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, where Q is a finite set of states, Σ is the alphabet, $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ is the transition function, q_0 is the start state, and F is the set of accept states. A DFA accepts a string if there exists a sequence of states starting with $r_0 = q_0$ and ending with $r_n \in F$ such that $\forall i, 0 \leq i < n, \delta(r_i, w_i) = r_{i+1}$. The language of a DFA, denoted $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is the set of all and only strings that D accepts.
- Deterministic finite automata are used in string matching algorithms such as Knuth-Morris-Pratt algorithm.
- A language is called *regular* if it is recognized by some DFA.
- A *non-deterministic* finite automaton (NFA) is a 5-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, where Q, Σ, q_0 and F are as in the case of DFA, but the transition function δ is $\delta : Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)$. Here, $\mathcal{P}(Q)$ is the powerset (set of all subsets) of Q . A non-deterministic finite automaton *accepts* a string $w = w_1 \dots w_m$ if there exists a sequence of states $r_0, \dots, r_m$ such that $r_0 = q_0, r_m \in F$ and $\forall i, 0 \leq i < m, r_{i+1} \in \delta(r_i, w_i)$.
- **Theorem:** For every NFA there is a DFA recognizing the same language. The construction sets states of the DFA to be the powerset of states of NFA, and makes a (single) transition from every set of states to a set of states accessible from it in one step on a letter following with all states reachable by (a path of) ϵ -transitions. The start state of the DFA is the set of all states reachable from q_0 by following possibly multiple ϵ -transitions.
- **Theorem:** A language is recognized by a DFA if and only if it is generated by some regular expression. In the proof, the construction of DFA from a regular expression follows the closure proofs and recursive definition of the regular expression. The construction of a regular expression from a DFA first converts DFA into a Generalized NFA with regular expressions on the transitions, a single distinct accept state and transitions (possibly $\emptyset$) between every two states. The proof proceeds inductively eliminating states until only the start and accept states are left.
- **Lemma** The *pumping lemma for regular languages* states that for every regular language A there is a pumping length p such that $\forall s \in A$, if $|s| > p$ then $s = xyz$ such that 1) $\forall i \geq 0, xy^iz \in A$. 2) $|y| > 0$ 3) $|xy| < p$. The proof proceeds by setting p to be the number of states of a DFA recognizing A , and showing how to eliminate or add the loops. This lemma is used to show that languages such as $\{0^n 1^n\}, \{ww^r\}$ and so on are not regular.

Context-free languages and Pushdown automata.

- A *pushdown automaton* (PDA) is a “NFA with a stack”; more formally, a PDA is a 6-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ where Q is the set of states, Σ the input alphabet, Γ the stack alphabet, q_0 the start state, F is the set of final states and the transition function $\delta : Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \times (\Gamma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times (\Gamma \cup \{\epsilon\}))$.
- A *context-free grammar* (CFG) is a 4-tuple (V, Σ, R, S) , where V is a finite set of variables, with $S \in V$ the start variable, Σ is a finite set of **terminals** (disjoint from the set of variables), and R is a finite set of rules, with each rule consisting of a variable followed by $\rightarrow$ followed by a string of variables and terminals.
- Let $A \rightarrow w$ be a rule of the grammar, where w is a string of variables and terminals. Then A can be replaced in another rule by w : uAv in a body of another rule can be replaced by uwv (we say uAv yields uwv , denoted $uAv \Rightarrow uwv$). If there is a sequence $u = u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k = v$ such that for all i , $1 \leq i < k$, $u_i \Rightarrow u_{i+1}$ then we say that u derives v (denoted $u \xRightarrow{*} v$.) If G is a context-free grammar, then the language of G is the set of all strings of terminals that can be generated from the start variable: $\mathcal{L}(G) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid S \xRightarrow{*} w\}$. A *parse tree* of a string is a tree representation of a sequence of derivations; it is *leftmost* if at every step the first variable from the left was substituted. A grammar is called *ambiguous* if there is a string in a grammar with two different (leftmost) parse trees.
- A language is called a *context-free language* (CFL) if there exists a CFG generating it.
- **Theorem** Every regular language is context-free.
- **Theorem** A language is context-free iff some pushdown automaton recognizes it. The proof of one direction constructs a PDA from the grammar (by having a middle state with “loops” on rules; loops consist of as many states as needed to place all symbols in the rule on the stack).
- **Lemma** The *pumping lemma for context-free languages* states that for every CFL A there is a pumping length p such that $\forall s \in A$, if $|s| > p$ then $s = uvxyz$ such that 1) $\forall i \geq 0, uv^i xy^i z \in A$. 2) $|vy| > 0$ 3) $|vxy| < p$. This lemma is used to show that languages such as $\{a^n b^n c^n\}$, $\{ww\}$ and so on are not regular.
- **Theorem** There are context-free languages not recognized by any deterministic PDA.