

CS 2742 (Logic in Computer Science) – Winter 2014

Lecture 22

Antonina Kolokolova

March 19, 2014

6.3 Equivalences of well-ordering, induction and complete induction.

Theorem 1. *Well-ordering principle, (weak) induction and strong induction are all equivalent to each other.*

Here is a very brief (and technical) outline of the main structure of the proof of the equivalences. The structure of the proof is circular: first we show that well-ordering implies induction, then that induction implies strong induction, and finally strong induction implies well-ordering, completing the cycle of implications.

Proof. 1) Well-ordering implies induction.

Assume well-ordering holds. Let $0 \in A$ and let $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$, if $i \in A$ then $i + 1 \in A$. Need to show $\mathbb{N} \subset A$. The rest is by contradiction. Look at $\bar{A} = \mathbb{N} - A$. If $\mathbb{N} \not\subseteq A$, then \bar{A} is nonempty. By well-ordering, \bar{A} has a minimal element j . That element is > 0 , since $0 \in A$. Then $j - 1$ is a natural number. But then $(j - 1) + 1$ must be in A . Contradiction.

2) Induction implies complete induction.

Prove by induction the following property: $P'(n) = \forall i < n P(i)$.

3) Complete induction implies well-ordering.

Let A be a subset of \mathbb{N} with no minimal element. Show that A is empty. For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, any number less than i is not in A . Then $i \notin A$ either (it would be the minimal element of A then). Look at the complement of A , \bar{A} . By complete induction, if any natural number less than i is in \bar{A} , then i is also in \bar{A} . But then every natural number is in \bar{A} . So A is empty.

□

6.4 Recursive definitions

Definition 1. *A recursive definition consists of:*

- 1) **Base of recursion:** *a statement that certain objects belong to a set.*
- 2) **Recursion:** *a collections of rules indicating how to form new set objects from those already known to be in the set.*
- 3) **Restriction:** *A statement that no objects belong to the set other than those coming from the base and the recursion rules.*

Example 1. Fibonacci: $F_0 = F_1 = 1$, and $F_k = F_{k-1} + F_{k-2}$.

Example 2. (Propositional formulas.)

Here we will give a formal definition of formulas of propositional logic.

Base of the recursion: propositional variables p, q, r, \dots and constants F, T are propositional formulas.

Recursion: If ϕ and ψ are propositional formulas, so are $\neg\phi$, $\phi \vee \psi$, $\phi \wedge \psi$, $\phi \rightarrow \psi$ and $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi$, as $(\neg\phi)$, $(\phi \vee \psi)$ and so on.

Restriction: ... and nothing else is a propositional formula.

For example, $(p \vee \neg q) \wedge T$ is a propositional formula, because it is made out of a \wedge of $(p \vee \neg q)$ and T , and both of them are propositional formulas: T because it satisfies the base of induction, and $(p \vee \neg q)$ because it is a \vee of two formulas p and $\neg q$, the first of which again satisfies the base case, and the second is a \neg of a formula which is a base case.

Example 3. (Arithmetic expressions)

Base of the recursion: rational numbers and variables x, y, z, \dots are arithmetic expressions.

Recursion: For any two arithmetic expressions A and B , $A + B$, $A - B$, $A * B$, A / B , $(A + B)$, $(A - B)$, $(A * B)$, (A / B) are arithmetic expressions.

Restriction: ... and nothing else.

For example, $3 + 5 * x$ is an arithmetic expression.