## CS 2742 (Logic in Computer Science) – Fall 2008 Lecture 16

## Antonina Kolokolova

November 22, 2008

Before continuing, let us look at a simple example of sets:

**Example 1** (Intervals on a real line). Let (-1, 0] and [0, 1) be two intervals on a real line.  $(-1, 0] \cup [0, 1) = (-1, 1), (-1, 0] \cap [0, 1) = \{0\}, (-1, 0] - [0, 1) = (-1, 0).$ 

**Definition 1.** Two sets are disjoint if they have no common elements. Sets  $A_1 \ldots A_n$  form a partition of a set A if sets are pairwise disjoint (that is,  $\forall i, j \ A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  and their union forms the whole set A.

Note that the rule of inclusion/exclusion simplifies greatly when sets are disjoint: in this case, the sum of the sizes of the disjoint sets is exactly the size of their union. This is used in probability theory.

Some subset relations:

- 1)  $A \cap B \subseteq A$
- 2)  $A \subseteq A \cup B$
- 3) Transitivity: if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq C$  then  $A \subseteq C$ .

To prove that  $A \subseteq B$  show that any element  $x \in A$  is also  $\in B$ . Proof style: "suppose x is in A. Now show that  $x \in B$ ." Now use logic of the definitions.

Now can prove properties of sets such as DeMorgan, by using "suppose x is in the left side... show that x is in the right side".

To prove something does not hold, find a counterexample.

**Example 2.** Show that it is not true that for all  $A, B, C, (A - B) \cup (B - C) = A - C$ . To prove this, find a counterexample, that is, sets A, B, C for which this does not hold. Let  $A = \{1, 2\}, B = \{2\}$  and  $C = \{1\}$ . Then  $A - C = \{2\}, A - B = \{1\}, B - C = \{2\}$  and the union is  $\{1, 2\}$ . Alternatively, think of an element in the LHS that is not in A - C: in this case, such an element is some element not in A.

## 6 Boolean algebra

As you have noticed, the algebra of sets is very similar to the algebra of propositions. This is because they are all examples of boolean algebras.

**Definition 2.** A Boolean algebra is a set B together with two operations, generally denoted + and  $\cdot$ , such that for all a and b in B both a + b and  $a \cdot b$  are in B and the following properties hold:

- Commutative laws: a + b = b + a and  $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ .
- Associative laws: (a + b) + c = a + (b + c) and  $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ .
- Distributive laws:  $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$  and  $a \cdot b + c = (a + c) \cdot (b + c)$  (recall that the second one does not hold for the normal arithmetic + and  $\cdot$ ).
- Identity laws: a + 0 = a and  $a \cdot 1 = a$
- Complement laws: for each a there exists an element called negation of a and denoted  $\bar{a}$  such that  $a + \bar{a} = 1$ ,  $a \cdot \bar{a} = 0$ .

In the case of propositional logic, 0 is F, 1 is T and there are no other elements, so it is sufficient to say that  $\overline{T} = F$  and  $\overline{F} = T$  (in that setting,  $\neg$  is used for complementation). In set theory, 0 and 1 are  $\emptyset$  and the universe U, respectively, and negation of every set is its complement.

Now, properties of Boolean algebras such as DeMorgan's law can be derived from these axioms.