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Abstract. In a biological cell, cellular functions and the genetic regulatory apparatus are implemented and controlled by a network of chemical reactions in which regulatory proteins can control genes that produce other regulators, which in turn control other genes. Further, the feedback pathways appear to incorporate switches that result in changes in the dynamic behavior of the cell. This paper describes a hybrid systems approach to modeling the intra-cellular network using continuous differential equations to model the feedback mechanisms and mode-switching to describe the changes in the underlying dynamics. We use two case studies to illustrate a modular approach to modeling such networks and describe the architectural and behavioral hierarchy in the underlying models. We describe these models using CHARCHON [2], a language that allows formal description of hybrid systems. We provide preliminary simulation results that demonstrate how our approach can help biologists in their analysis of noisy genetic circuits. Finally we describe our agenda for future work that includes the development of models and simulation for stochastic hybrid systems. We believe that an understanding of the redundant design for robust regulation of noisy biological processes may help engineers in designing, organizing and programming distributed embedded systems.

1 Introduction

In order to survive, organisms continuously monitor their surroundings and, if necessary, adjust traffic through single or complex combinations of genetic and metabolic networks to respond to alterations in local conditions. Local conditions include both the physical environment, for example, temperature (the heat and cold shock response), nutrient and energy source concentrations (the stringent response), light (circadian rhythms), cell density (quorum sensing response) as well as the molecular environment of individual regulatory components. Examples of the latter include intracellular concentrations of transcription factors and allosteric effectors. The availability of complete genomic information for a wide variety of organisms and the consequent attention on proteomics has dramatically increased the number of systems and components of systems that are involved in these sensing and responding activities [4,10]. Understanding how
these biological systems are integrated and regulated and how the regulation may be influenced, possibly for therapeutic purposes, remains a significant challenge.

In this paper we model and simulate examples of genetic and metabolic networks using a hybrid systems approach that combines concepts and tools from control theory and computer science. First we analyze a previously published plasmid-based genetic network that was designed and synthesized using three repressor transcription factors where one repressor negatively regulates the production of a subsequent repressor [7]. Then we model a biologically important genetic network that controls the quorum sensing response, an adaptive response of certain gram negative bacteria to local population density [13, 17]. The quorum sensing response controls the luminescent behavior in certain strains of Vibrio which has been linked to the normal development of the bacterial host [18] as well as to medically important phenomena such as biofilm formation by Pseudomonas aeruginosa, an organism that can cause overwhelming pneumonia and septic shock [11, 20].

2 Modeling

The genetic circuits and biomolecular networks considered here and elsewhere are remarkably similar to hybrid systems encountered in engineering, for example embedded systems. In particular, it is worth noting the following three key features:

Concurrency and communication. At the intra-cellular level, proteins and mRNAs are agents communicating with each other and influencing each other's behavior. At the inter-cellular level, cells can be viewed as networked agents interacting with each other via different communication mechanisms.

Discrete and continuous behaviors. At the lowest level, the evolution of entities such as proteins can be described by differential equations. Discreteness arises in two ways. First, a certain activity may be triggered only when the concentration of enabling quantities is above the desired threshold. This leads to discrete switching between active and dormant states. Second, different models may be appropriate at different levels of concentration.

Stochastic behavior. Evolution of entities is not deterministic, and is better captured by stochastic models that allow for uncertainty and noise.

These characteristics are typical of high-level models of embedded software such as autonomous communicating mobile robots. For describing such systems, we have developed the language CHARON [2] which incorporates ideas from concurrency theory (languages such as CSP [12]), object-oriented software design notations (such as Statecharts [9] and UML [3]), and formal models for hybrid systems (such as hybrid automata [1] and hybrid I/O automata [15]). The key features of CHARON are:

Architectural hierarchy. The building block for describing the system architecture is an agent that communicates with its environment via shared
variables. The language supports the operations of \textit{composition} of agents to model concurrency, \textit{hiding} of variables to restrict sharing of information, and \textit{instantiation} of agents to support reuse.

**Behavior hierarchy.** The building block for describing flow of control inside an atomic agent is a \textit{mode}. A mode is basically a hierarchical state machine, that is, a mode can have submodes and transitions connecting them. Variables can be declared locally inside any mode with standard scoping rules for visibility. Modes can be connected to each other only via well-defined entry and exit points. We allow \textit{sharing} of modes so that the same mode definition can be instantiatiend in multiple contexts. Finally, to support \textit{exceptions}, the language allows group transitions from default exit points that are applicable to all enclosing modes.

**Discrete updates.** Discrete updates are specified by \textit{guarded actions} labeling transitions connecting the modes. Actions can have calls to externally defined Java functions which can be used to write complex data manipulations. It also allows us to mimic stochastic aspects through randomization.

**Continuous updates.** Some of the variables in \textsc{Charon} can be declared \textit{analog}, and they flow continuously during continuous updates that model passage of time. The evolution of analog variables can be constrained in three ways: \textit{differential} constraints (e.g. by equations such as $\dot{x} = f(x,u)$), \textit{algebraic} constraints (e.g. by equations such as $y = g(x,u)$), and \textit{invariants} (e.g. $|x - y| < \varepsilon$) which limit the allowed durations of flows. Such constraints can be declared at different levels of the mode hierarchy.

Modular features of \textsc{Charon} allow succinct and structured description of complex systems. Similar features are supported by the languages \textsc{Shift} [6] and \textsc{Stateflow} (see \url{www.mathworks.com}). In \textsc{Charon}, modularity is not only apparent in syntax, but we are developing analysis tools (such as simulation) that exploit this modularity. Furthermore, \textsc{Charon} has formal foundations supporting compositional refinement calculus which allows relating different models of the system in mathematically precise manner.

### 3 Modeling Biomolecular Networks in \textsc{Charon}

As noted in [5], most biomolecular systems of interest involve many interactions connected through positive and negative feedback loops, so that an intuitive understanding of their dynamics is hard to obtain. In this section we will describe the modeling of a specific biomolecular network. We will model a repressilator system described in [7]. First we provide some biological background information and describe the protein network used in [7], and then describe the models of the protein network, including examples of \textsc{Charon} models.

#### 3.1 A Protein Repressilator System

In the synthetic oscillatory network described in [7], networks of interacting biomolecules carry out many essential functions in living cells. But the design
principles of the functioning of such networks still remain poorly understood—
even in relatively simple systems [14]. The authors proposed the design and
construction of a synthetic protein network implementing a particular function.
Their idea is that such a “rational network design” may lead to the engineering
of new cellular behaviors and to improved understanding of naturally occurring
networks.

The repressilator system described in [7] contains three proteins, namely
lacI, tetR, and cl. The protein lacI represses the protein tetR, tetR represses cl,
whereas cl represses lacI, thus completing a feedback system called a repressilator
system. The dynamics of the network depend on the transcription rates,
translation rates, and decay rates of proteins and messenger RNAs. Depending
on the values of the different parameters in the model, the system might converge
to a stable limit cycle or become unstable.

3.2 The Models of the Protein Repressilator System

It is well known in mechanics and thermodynamics that there are two different
approaches to modeling such systems. At reasonably high molecular concentra-
tions, one can adopt continuum models which lend themselves to deterministic
models involving ordinary and partial differential equations. At lower concentra-
tions, the discrete molecular interactions become important and deterministic
models are difficult to obtain [8].

The Deterministic, Continuous Approximation. We will consider the
three repressor protein concentrations $p_i, i \in P = \{\text{lacI, tetR, cl}\}$ and their corre-
spending mRNA concentrations $m_i, i \in P$ as continuous dynamic variables.
The system kinetics are determined by the following six coupled first-order dif-
f erential equations.

$$\frac{dm_i}{dt} = -m_i + \frac{\alpha}{1 + p_j^n} + \alpha_0$$

$$\frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\beta(p_i - m_i)$$

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  i = \text{lacI, tetR, cl} \\
  j = \text{cl, lacI, tetR}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The equations use various constants. The "leakiness of the promoter" $\alpha$ is the
number of protein copies per cell produced from a given promoter type during
continuous growth in the presence of saturating repressor amounts. During the
absence of the repressor, we have $\alpha + \alpha_0$ number of protein copies per cell. The
ratio of the protein decay rate to the mRNA decay rate is denoted by $\beta$, while
$n$ stands for the so called Hill coefficient.
The Stochastic, Discrete Approximation. The continuous analysis neglects the discrete nature of molecular components and the stochastic character of their interaction [7]. Following [7], we adopt the stochastic approximation as described by Gillespie in [8].

3.3 Modeling the Repressilator System in Charon

In this section we will present the repressilator system models as described in [7] using the Charon language. We will present many of the advantages that the Charon language has to offer for modeling such biomolecular models.

Our model will define a general protein model as an agent in Charon. We will instantiate this agent model to obtain the three proteins LacI, tetR, and Cl. The approximation models will be implemented inside the modes of the protein agent. To present another feature of our language, we will also describe a combination of the discrete and the continuous model into one modeling system.

The Protein Agent in the Continuous Approximation. In this section we will describe a Charon model of a general protein agent. We have a continuous input variable which represents the repressor protein concentration $p_R$. This means, that the environment of this protein agent supplies the value of this variable, and it cannot be changed by the protein agent. The protein agent has a continuous private variable representing the messenger RNA concentration. Private variables cannot be seen outside the agent and can be updated internally for internal use only. The output of the protein agent is a continuous variable representing the protein concentration. Output variables are updated by the agent, and can be used as input variables in the environment. The general protein agent has parameters $a_0, \alpha, \beta, n, p_0$, and $m_0$. By instantiating these parameters with values, we can obtain instantiated protein agents representing a specific protein. The parameters $p_0$ and $m_0$ will be used for initialization purposes and stand for the initial protein concentration and the initial messenger RNA concentration respectively. The following represents the corresponding Charon code.

```charon
agent contProtein ( real p0 , m0 , alpha0 , alpha , beta , n )
{
    write analog real p = p0 ; //protein concentration
    read analog real pR ; //repressor protein concentration
    private analog real m = m0 ; //messenger RNA concentration

    mode cont = continuous ( alpha0 , alpha , beta , n ) ;
}
```

We still need to define the behavior of the agent. The behavior is described by the modes of the agent. The behavior of the general protein agent is defined in cont, which is an instantiation of a general continuous mode definition that is defined by the following code. A graphical version of the general protein model can be found in Figure 1.
parameters: p0, m0, alpha0, alpha, beta, n

continuous mode

d(m) = -m + alpha / (1 + p*R^n) + alpha0

d(p) = -beta * (p - m)

local m
read pR write p

protein agent

RepressilatorSystem

Fig. 1. A general protein agent for the continuous approximation model

mode continuous ( real alpha0, alpha, beta, n )
{
    write analog real p ; //protein concentration
    read analog real pR ; //repressor protein concentration
    private analog real m ; //messenger RNA concentration

    diff mRNA { d(m) = -m + alpha / (1 + pR^n) + alpha0 }

    diff proteinConcentration { d(p) = -beta * (p - m) }
}

Fig. 2. Composed repressilator system using the instantiated general protein agent

Instantiation and Parallelism. We defined a general protein agent in the previous section. We have to instantiate this general agent model to get the three proteins used in the system. We also want the three proteins lacI, tetR, and cl to run in parallel and to influence each other. We have to provide values for the parameters of the protein agent, which we do not bother to show in our code here. Notice the use of renaming of variables to couple the three instantiated protein agents to influence each other. A graphical version of the composed system is illustrated in Figure 2. The following represents the corresponding CHARON code using some values for the parameters. A simulation trace is given in Figure 3.

agent RepressilatorSystem ()
{

The Protein Agent in the Discrete Approximation. In this section we will present a possible model for a discrete approximation of a protein agent. As we did it for the continous case, we will again define a general protein agent, that can be instantiated to build a system of proteins. Our model will work as follows. We will have an integer variable $n$ that will keep track of the number of protein molecules which will be the output of the agent. The input to the agent will be the number of repressor protein molecules $n_R$. Depending on various parameters, we want to increase or decrease the number of protein molecules by one at a time. The basic idea is to use stochastic simulation as described in [8]. The parameters that influence the stochastic simulation are binding and unbinding of proteins on two-sided promoters, the protein and mRNA decay rates, and translation.
**Combining the two Models into one Framework.** The two different models for the repressilator system can be combined into one framework. The basic idea is to use the deterministic continuous model whenever the concentration of the protein is high enough, whereas we would switch to the discrete, stochastic model if the concentration would fall below a certain threshold value. Figure 4 gives an intuitive graphical representation of the protein agent with both the continuous and discrete approximation.

4 Hybrid Systems Models for Quorum Sensing

A good illustration of multicellular behavior in prokaryotes is the cell-density-dependent gene expression. In this process, a single cell is able to sense when a *quorum* of bacteria, a minimum population unit, is achieved. Under these conditions, certain behavior is efficiently performed by the quorum, such as bio-luminescence, which is the best known model for understanding the mechanism of cell-density-dependent gene expression. In this section, we will describe a hybrid system model that captured the changes in dynamics of the biochemical reactions observed in the literature [13,16,17].

4.1 Description of phenomena

*Vibrio fischeri* is a marine bacterium that can be found both as free-living organism and as a symbiont of some marine fish and squid. As a free-living organism, *V. fischeri* exists at low densities (less than 500 cells per ml of seawater) and appears to be non-luminescent. As a symbiont, the bacteria live at high densities and are, usually, luminescent. In a liquid culture, the bacteria’s level of luminescence is low until the culture reaches mid to late exponential phase. A dramatic increase in luminescence is observed at that time due to the transcriptional activation of the *lux* genes. Once the bacteria reach stationary phase, the level of luminescence decreases.

The *lux* regulon [17] contains two operons, *O_L* and *O_R* (see Figure 5). The left operon *O_L* contains the *luxR* gene encoding the protein LuxR, a transcriptional activator of the system. The right operon *O_R* contains seven genes *luxICDABEG*. 
Protein LuxI, the product of the *luxI* gene is required for endogenous production of *autoinducer*, a small molecule capable of diffusing in and out of the cell membrane. Genes *luxA* and *luxB* encode two subunits of luciferase. The trio *luxC*, *luxD*, and *luxE* code for the subunits of a protein complex which provides an aldehyde substrate for luciferase. The function of *luxG* is unknown. The autoinducer Al binds to protein LuxR to form a complex Co. The two operons are separated by a regulatory region that contains a binding site for the cyclic AMP receptor protein CRP and a binding site for the complex Co.

### 4.2 Transcription control of operons $O_L$ and $O_R$

The transcription of *luxR* is regulated by both CRP and Co. We can distinguish among the following three different cases:

- **Case $O_L$-1** In the absence of the autoinducer, CRP activates $O_L$ expression by initiating two RNA transcripts.
- **Case $O_L$-2** At low autoinducer concentrations, *luxR* transcription is stimulated by increasing CRP-dependent transcription and by Co-dependent transcription from another transcriptional start site.
- **Case $O_L$-3** At high autoinducer concentrations, *luxR* transcription is repressed through a second, weaker Co binding site located in *luxD*.

Likewise, transcription of $O_R$ is regulated by both CRP and Co. We distinguish two different cases:

- **Case $O_R$-1** In the absence of autoinducer, CRP represses $O_R$ transcription.
- **Case $O_R$-2** In the presence of autoinducer, Co activates transcription of $O_R$.

These cases will be interpreted as modes as seen later in the paper.
4.3 Development of a mathematical model

In this section, we develop a mathematical model for the luminescence phenomenon in one bacterium of *V. fischeri*, describing the concentrations of the relevant mRNA’s, proteins, and small molecules. As described in Section 4.2 the mechanism of transcription activation of both operons is highly dependent on the concentration of autoinducer, so the time evolution of the system cannot be described by one set of continuous differential equations.¹ Combining cases for $O_L$ and $O_R$ given in the previous section, yields three modes, which we call OFF, POS and NEG. The transitions between modes are governed by the level of internal autoinducer which we represent by $[\text{Ai}]$. Mode OFF corresponds to very low or zero concentration of autoinducer ($[\text{Ai}] \leq [\text{Ai}]_-$) within the bacterium and no luminescence is observed. The system is in mode POS when the concentration of internal autoinducer is low ($[\text{Ai}]_- \leq [\text{Ai}] \leq [\text{Ai}]_+$). This mode corresponds to positive growth and increasing concentration of autoinducer. Luminescence is observed, as are higher concentrations of proteins LuxA, LuxB, LuxC, LuxD, and LuxE. The transition to mode NEG (negative growth) occurs at high levels of autoinducer ($[\text{Ai}] > [\text{Ai}]_+$).

We use the following rate equation to describe the concentration for any molecular species (mRNA, protein, protein complex, or small molecule) [19]:

$$\frac{d[x]}{dt} = \text{synthesis} - \text{decay} \pm \text{transformation} \pm \text{transport}$$

(1)

The synthesis term represents transcription for mRNA and translation for proteins. The decay term represents a first order degradation process. The transformation term describes reactions such as cleavage or ligand-binding that do not destroy the protein, but do remove its ability to participate in specific reactions. Finally, molecular species may participate in transport processes, like passive diffusion or active transport through a membrane.

The biomolecular system can be described in a nine dimensional state space. The nine variables, $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_9$, describe the concentrations of different molecules as follows:

- $x_1 =$ mRNA transcribed from $O_L$,
- $x_2 =$ mRNA transcribed from $O_R$,
- $x_3 =$ protein LuxR,
- $x_4 =$ protein LuxI,
- $x_5 =$ protein LuxA,
- $x_6 =$ protein LuxB,
- $x_7 =$ autoinducer inside the bacterium $\text{Ai}$,
- $x_8 =$ LuxR:$\text{Ai}$ complex Co,
- $x_9 =$ autoinducer outside the bacterium $\text{Ai}_{\text{o}}$,

where $\text{Ai}$ is the dimensionless version of $[\text{Ai}]$.

¹ In [13], the differential equations for the low autoinducer concentration are described. The model presented here describes a wider range of operating conditions.
For simplicity, we have assumed that the concentrations of CRP and of the substrate necessary for endogenous production of Al are constant. Further, we have neglected the decay rates for chemical compounds. Finally, we assume that the concentrations of LuxC, LuxD, and LuxE are similar to those of LuxA and LuxB.

The (continuous) differential equations for each mode are of the form \( \dot{x} = f^i(x) \) where \( x = [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( f^i = [f_1^i, f_2^i, \ldots, f_n^i] \), and \( i \in \{OFF, POS, NEG\} \). The components of the vector fields are explicitly given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_1^{OFF} &= \eta_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}c - x_1 \right) \\
    f_1^{POS} &= \eta_1 \frac{c}{4} \left( 3c + \frac{x_1^\kappa_1}{\kappa_1^2} + \frac{x_1^\kappa_2}{\kappa_2^2} - 4x_1 \right) \\
    f_1^{NEG} &= -\eta_1 x_1 \\
    f_2^{OFF} &= -\eta_2 x_2 \\
    f_2^{POS} &= \eta_2 \left( \frac{\kappa_2^2}{\kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1^2} - x_2 \right) \\
    f_3^i &= \eta_3 (x_1 - x_3) - r_3, A_1 x_3 x_7 \\
    f_4^i &= \eta_4 (x_2 - x_4) - r_4 x_4 \\
    f_5^i &= \eta_5 (x_2 - x_5) \\
    f_6^i &= \eta_6 (x_2 - x_6) \\
    f_7^i &= -\eta_7 x_7 + r_4 x_4 - r_{mem} (x_7 - x_9) - r_{37,R} x_3 x_7 \\
    f_8^i &= -\eta_8 x_8 + r_{37,A_1} x_3 x_7 \\
    f_9^i &= -\eta_9 x_9 + r_{mem}(x_7 - x_9) + u
\end{align*}
\]

where, in the last seven equations \( f_j^i \) is independent of the mode. All the quantities in the above model are non-dimensional. \( \eta_i = T_0 / H_i \) where \( T_0 \) is the characteristic time constant of the system and \( H_i \) is the half-life (inverse of the decay rate) of molecule \( x_i \). \( \nu_{ij} \) is a cooperativity coefficient while \( \kappa_{ij} \) describes the potency of the regulation of the transcription of mRNA \( j \) by protein \( i \). \( r \) denotes transformation and transfer rates. For example \( r_{mem} \) is the transfer rate of autinducer through the membrane of the cell while \( r_{37,R} \) and \( r_{37,A_1} \) are transformation rates obtained by non-dimensionalizing the binding rate of the reaction between Al and LuxR in two different ways. \( c \) is dependent on the concentration of CRP and its affinity to the corresponding binding site, and, as stated earlier, is assumed to be constant. Finally, \( u \) emulates an external source of Al and is used to simulate the sensitivity of the bacterium to changes of autinducer concentration in the exterior.

We regard \( u \) as an input to our system. Since proteins LuxA and LuxB are subunits of luciferase, which produces luminescence, it is reasonable to assume that the level of luminescence is proportional to the product of the concentrations of LuxA and LuxB, which we choose to be the output of the system.
4.4 Charon implementation

The behavioral hierarchy in Charon (see Figure 6) is characterized by three different behaviors which are represented by three different modes, namely OFF, POS, and NEG. Many of the differential equations governing the dynamics of the system are shared between the modes. We will introduce the notion of mode hierarchy to extract the shared constraints. Through the notion of submodes and scoping, we can simplify the description of the respective modes OFF, POS, and NEG.

\[ l_i = f_i(x), \quad (i) \{ \text{OFF}, \text{POS}, \text{NEG}\} \]

Fig. 6. Charon structure of the system

4.5 Simulation results

Figure 7 illustrates the response (i.e., luminescence) of the bacterium to a perturbation in the concentration of external autoinducer that takes the form of a rectangular pulse. The magnitude of the step has been chosen to make the system go through all three modes. The results confirm the experimental observations [17]: luminescence increases during mode POS and decreases in mode NEG; there is no luminescence in mode OFF. The switch history and the time evolution of the concentrations of the significant molecules in the system are also shown. In mode OFF, all molecules decay to zero, except for mRNA \( G_L \) and the corresponding protein \( R \), as expected. For a short time, in mode POS, all the concentrations increase until the internal autoinducer reaches a high concentration, when the system is switched to mode NEG. In this last mode, everything decays to zero, except for internal autoinducer which can reach a stable non-zero value dependent on the size of the step of external autoinducer.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we have shown that biological cellular networks can be naturally modeled as hybrid systems. In particular, the protein repressilator system
Fig. 7. Increase in external autoinducer produces luminescence: (a) input - external source of autoinducer; (b) switch hystory; (c) output (luminescence) - product of concentrations of proteins \( A \) and \( B \); (d) and (e) time - evolution of concentrations.

Switches between a continuous deterministic model at high concentrations, and a timed, discrete, stochastic model at low concentrations. Similarly, the luminescence control of *Vibrio fischeri* is naturally modeled as a multi-modal hybrid system, resulting in simulations that are in accordance with experimental observations. The hybrid nature of such protein networks can be very easily expressed and simulated in **CHARON**, which may offer us better and a more global understanding of biological networks.

The enormous complexity of large scale biological networks will present us with great challenges that we must face. Exploiting the structure of biological systems will be critical for scaling the applicability of the modeling, analysis, and simulation tools. It is therefore extremely encouraging that the two case studies presented in this paper exhibit the architectural paradigms of modern software engineering.

We envision the link between hybrid systems technology, and biology to strengthen. The scalable nature of computational tools like **CHARON** will enable the unified and improved modeling of biological cellular networks, leading to better understanding, as well as providing us with the opportunity to determine how local biological changes can affect global behavior. Conversely, a good understanding of the robustness of noisy biological networks will lead to new approaches to designing networked embedded systems.

The case studies also highlight the need for developing a theory of **stochastic hybrid systems**, for instance, for modeling rate equations of biochemical reactions. Useful mathematical tools for analysis of such systems are rare, and developing adequate computational tools for understanding such models will be a challenging research area.
References


