Content analysis and natural language processing
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5. ThinkMate online platform and possible topics for course projects

Objectivist approach
Words are viewed as having ‘proper senses’
One can understand an object entirely in terms of a set of its inherent properties; the ‘truth’ is objective
For objectivists the word digest would have two different and distinct literal (objective) meanings – digest₁ for food and digest₂ for ideas
The analysis of other words with which the word digest co-occurs is indicative of whether it has to be interpreted as digest₁ or digest₂

Subjectivist approach
Words are viewed as having senses attributed to them by the reader or listener
Understanding of an object is always subjective; any ‘truth’ is relative and subjective
For subjectivists, the word digest would have as many meanings as there are readers or listeners, digestᵢ
It is impossible to discriminate between various meanings

Searching for a ‘third way’: Experientalism
We understand experience metaphorically when we use concepts from one domain of experience (most often, our interaction with the physical environment) to structure experience in another domain. E.g., The concept ‘in’… emerges… from spatial experience. The same goes for ‘at’ etc. From the experientalist point of view, being objective is always relative to a conceptual system

Searching for a ‘third way’: Content analysis

Content analysis: the analysis of texts or images (but today I’ll focus on texts only) as a source of information about social action. It consists in ‘mining’ the text/image for relevant data. ‘Content analysis is a research technique for making replicable and valid inferences from texts (or other meaningful matter) to the contexts of their use’ (Krippendorff, Klaus, 2004, Content Analysis, Sage, p.18). My own definition of content analysis: a study of how readers interpret and comprehend texts/images
Content analysis is a relatively ‘neutral’ research method that can be adapted to the needs of discourse analysis, critical discourse analysis, visual research methods and some other theories and research methods, including natural language processing (especially quantitative content analysis)

Types of content-analysis
Qualitative content analysis
In vivo coding: identifying relevant (to a particular research question) and simply interesting fragments of a text, image ‘from scratch’, i.e. the list of codes is an outcome of the coding
Coding as manual attribution of particular meanings to fragments of a text with the help of a codebook. This type of qualitative content analysis requires

- Clearly defined concepts (Codes) and rules for their application
- Reliance on the coder’s subjective judgment as to how to apply these rules (to reduce its subjectivity, coding is sometimes done in teams → the coefficient of inter-coder agreement, such as Krippendorff’s alpha)

Quantitative content analysis

**Correlational analysis**: the analysis of co-occurrences of words. It helps identify words that tend to co-occur in the text and form a cluster to which a particular meaning can eventually be attached (e.g., tea and breakfast)

**Dictionary based on substitution**: a code is automatically attributed to a particular fragment if it contains a specified combination of words or phrases (e.g., code ‘Food’ is attributed if the fragment contains any of the following words: Bread, Tea, Broth, Breakfast, Lunch etc.

Dictionary based on substitution: an example

**Human dignity (latent code)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Politeness</th>
<th>Will power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loyalty</td>
<td>Conscience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pride</td>
<td>Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness</td>
<td>Rank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love</td>
<td>Respect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courage</td>
<td>Intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male dignity</td>
<td>Honour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsibility</td>
<td>Honesty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>The list was identified with the help of an open-ended question asked in an online survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decency</td>
<td>Self-respect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human rights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-respect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Parsing**

Parts-of-speech (also known as POS, word classes, or syntactic categories) are useful because of the large amount of information they give about a word and its neighbors. Knowing whether a word is a noun or a verb tells us a lot about likely neighboring words (nouns are preceded by determiners and adjectives, verbs by nouns) and about the syntactic structure around the word (nouns are generally part of noun phrases), which makes part-of-speech tagging an important component of syntactic parsing

**Tokenization**: segmenting running text into words and sentences

Sentence tokenization methods work by building a binary classifier (based on a sequence of rules, or on machine learning) which decides if a period is part of the word or is a sentence boundary marker. In making this decision, it helps to know if the period is attached to a commonly used abbreviation; thus an abbreviation dictionary is useful

**Vectorization**

With the help of **tokenization**, the text is divided in sentences and then transformed into a table. These operations pave the way to **vectorization**. Sentences are rows in a table, variables (specific words or qualitative codes) are columns in the table
Types of texts

**Rhetorical texts** (novel, poem, diary, or essay) have a loose structure. Metaphors and analogies abound in such texts. The content analysis of rhetorical texts calls for prioritizing interpretation: they aim at provoking free associations and creative thinking (cf. subjectivist approach).

**Stylistic texts** (scholarly article, textbook, or scientific letter) have a clear, often rigid structure. Arguments in stylistic texts must meet high logical standards: exhaustiveness and mutual exclusiveness of categories, transitivity and consistency in their rank ordering and so forth.

**Comprehension** seems to be more appropriate for the content analysis of stylistic texts as a result of their orientation toward conveying a message in the least ambiguous manner (cf. objectivist approach).

Triangulation: definition and forms

‘The combination of methodologies in the study of the same phenomenon’ (Jick, 1979)

**Data triangulation:** the use of a variety of data sources

**Investigator triangulation:** the use of more than one researcher

**Theory triangulation:** using multiple perspective to interpret a single data set

**Methodological triangulation:** the use of multiple research methods, including various forms of content analysis, to study one problem or document. **Mixed methods research** as practical manifestation

Validity and reliability in content analysis

Mixed methods content analysis allows assessing the reliability of coding.

A conventional approach to assessing the reliability of a content analysis is to calculate coefficients of inter-coder agreement: Krippendorff’s alpha, Cohen’s kappa, Bennett, Alpert and Goldstein’s S and some others.

Mixed methods content analysis allows adding correlation coefficients (Pearson’s $r$ between the qualitative content analysis outcomes and the correlational analysis outcomes, for instance) and to this list. Cf. ‘In content analysis [the use of correlation coefficients] is seriously misleading’ (Krippendorff, 2004, p. 245).

The basic table takes a different shape: coders are in its rows, codes – in its columns.

The choice of a proper measure depends on particularities of the text.

Computer programs for content analysis

- **QSR International**, Australia [http://www.qsrinternational.com/]: NVivo, N6 (NUD*IST), XSight
- **Provalis Research**, Canada (Montreal) [http://www.provalisresearch.com/]: QDA Miner (module for qualitative content analysis) and WordStat (module for the analysis of co-occurrences and the use of dictionaries based on substitution). These two modules used in conjunction open multiple opportunities for mixed methods research when content analyzing texts
- **ThinkMate**: an on-line platform that I am currently working on. It has some similar features with QDA Miner, but also offer some new options, such as the search of similarly minded people on the basis of reading (and coding) particular texts, i.e., a novel type of social network.
Further development of *ThinkMate* (possible CS-4750 course projects)

1. The **tokenization** algorithm needs improving. As of today, the algorithm is rather simplistic:
   «dot + space + uppercase letter» OR «exclamation mark + space + uppercase letter» OR
   «question mark + space + uppercase letter»
2. The content analysis of **images** could be done along the same lines, but relevant algorithms
   have to be adapted and adjusted accordingly
3. The **multidimensional scaling** is not incorporated yet. Without the MDS one cannot really
   identify his or her similarly minded fellows
4. The algorithm for **comparing the structure of the codebooks** also needs developing and so forth
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