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- We have already seen that poles in the s-plane and zplane are related by

$$
z=e^{s T}
$$

- We'll consider particular mappings from parts of the $s$-plane. We have already seen that the $j$ ! axis corresponds to the unit circle in the $z$-plane. In the following, $\mathrm{s}=\sigma+\mathrm{j} \omega$ and $\omega=0$.

$$
z=e^{s T}=e^{\sigma T} e^{j \omega T}=e^{j \omega T}=1 \angle \omega T
$$

- Fundamentally, there is a limitation on the signal frequency that can be represented by the ztransform. That limit is $\omega=\omega_{\mathrm{s}} / 2$ where $\omega_{\mathrm{s}}=2 \pi / \mathrm{T}$.


## Mapping the s-plane onto the z-plane

- We're almost ready to design a controller for a DT system, however we will have to consider where we would like to position the poles
- We generally understand how to position desirable poles in the s-plane
- Although this does remains somewhat of a "black art" as there are various arbitrary choices and rules-ofthumb at play
- If we understand how to position poles in the zplane we can do direct digital design.
Alternatively, we can position poles in the s-plane and then find out where they lie in the z-plane.

- That portion of the j ! axis which lies in the range $\left[-\mathrm{j} \omega_{\mathrm{s}} / 2, \mathrm{j} \omega_{\mathrm{s}} / 2\right]$ maps onto the unit circle.
- So poles on the unit circle in the z-plane correspond to pure sinusoids and therefore signify a marginally stable system.
- As we have already seen, poles inside the unit circle correspond to exponentially decaying sinusoids. If all poles lie within the unit circle then we have asymptotic stability. Poles outside the unit circle correspond to exponentially growing sinusoids, and therefore instability.

For $s=\sigma+j \omega$ if $\sigma$ is held constant (lets say we set it toa value of $\sigma_{1}$ ) and $\omega$ is allowed to vary we get

$$
z=e^{\sigma_{1} T} e^{j \omega T}=e^{\sigma_{1} T} / \omega T
$$

This corresponds to vertical lines in the s-plane andcircles in the z-plane (including the unit circle).



What if we do the opposite? That is, for $s=\sigma+j \omega$ we hold $\omega$ constant (at $\omega_{1}$ ) if $\sigma$ is allowed to vary allowed to vary weget

$$
z=e^{\sigma T} e^{j \omega_{1} T}=e^{\sigma T} \angle\left(\omega_{1} T\right)
$$

This corresponds to horizontal lines in the s-plane and rays emanating from the origin in the z-plane.


ets consider pairs of poles located at $s=\sigma \pm j \omega$. We know that such a pole pair corresponds to a term of the form $k e{ }^{\sigma t} \cos (\omega t+\psi)$. We can also define this pair of poles in polar coordinates as $(r, \pm \theta)$ as below:

$$
z=\left.e^{s T}\right|_{s=\sigma \pm j \omega}=e^{\sigma T} e^{ \pm j \omega T}=e^{\sigma T} \angle \pm \omega T=r \angle \pm \theta
$$

In particular we would like to position the poles of a second-order system which have the following locations:

$$
s_{1,2}=-\zeta \omega_{n} \pm j \omega_{n} \sqrt{1-\zeta^{2}}
$$

Now translate to the z-plane:

$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{1,2}=\left.e^{s T}\right|_{s=s_{1.2}}=e^{-\zeta \omega_{n} T} e^{ \pm j \omega_{n} T \sqrt{1-\zeta^{2}}}=r e^{ \pm j \theta} \\
\quad \text { where } r=e^{-\zeta \omega_{n} T} \text { and } \theta=\omega_{n} T \sqrt{1-\zeta^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

We can then solve for the relationship between $(r, \pm \theta)$ and $\left(\zeta, \omega_{n}\right)$ :

$$
\zeta=\frac{-\ln r}{\sqrt{\ln ^{2} r+\theta^{2}}} \quad \omega_{n}=\frac{1}{T} \sqrt{\ln ^{2} r+\theta^{2}}
$$

The relationships between z-plane pole locations and ( $\zeta, \omega_{n}$ ) is somewhat complex,


$$
\zeta=\frac{-\ln r}{\sqrt{\ln ^{2} r+\theta^{2}}} \quad \omega_{n}=\frac{1}{T} \sqrt{\ln ^{2} r+\theta^{2}}
$$

These relationships between the locations of a pole pair at $(r, \pm \theta)$ in the $z$-plane and second order system parameters ( $\zeta, \omega_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) allow us then to relate pole locations to "boss parameters such as $\% O$ and settling time.

## Example:

We have a DT system with the following closed-loop dharacteristic polynomial: $z^{2}-z+0.632=(z-0.5-j(0.618)(z-0.5+j 0.618)=0$ Get the pole locations in the $z$-plane in terms of $(r, \pm \theta)$ then obtain the $2^{\text {nd }}$ order parameters (in this example $\mathrm{T}=1 \mathrm{~s}$ which is rather slow):

$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{1,2}=0.5 \pm j 0.618=0.795 \angle \pm 0.890(\mathrm{rad})=r \angle \pm \theta \\
\zeta=\frac{-\ln (0.795)}{\sqrt{\ln ^{2}(0.795)+(0.890)^{2}}}=0.250 \\
\omega_{n}=\frac{1}{1} \sqrt{\ln ^{2}(0.795)+(0.890)^{2}}=0.919
\end{gathered}
$$

The examples below illustrate 4 different configurations of $s$-plane and correspondingzplane pole locations and the resulting signals produced.


## Digital State Feedback Design

- State feedback can be applied to sampled data systems in almost exactly the same way as for CT systems
- The only real difference is that we place eigenvalues in the $z$-plane, not the s-plane
- We proceed by example. Assume we have the following servomotor system (again):


In the previous set of notes we developed the following discretized statespace model for this system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}(k+1) & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0.0952 \\
0 & 0.905
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{x}(k)+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.0484 \\
0.952
\end{array}\right] m(k) \\
y(k) & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{x}(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

$x_{1}(k)$ represents the angle of the motor shaft (measureable by encoder count). $x_{2}(k)$ represents the shaft speed (measureable by a tachometer, rate gyro, or by rate of encoder counts).

It is important to consider whether the state variables are measureable because otherwise full-state feedback cannot be applied.

Here is our usual picture of astate feedback controller:


This example differs in that it has been discretized, butalso in that the goal is to set the motor's shaft angle to zero. That makes this controller a regulator. A regulator is a controller or compensator that works to move one or all state variables to zero. So we can say there is no $r(t)$, or equivalently that $r(t)=0$.

In regulator design (for $\mathrm{n}=2$ ) the input to the plant is defined as

$$
u(k)=-K_{1} x_{1}(k)-K_{2} x_{2}(k)=-\mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}(k)
$$

$$
z_{\mathrm{l} .2}=0.954 \angle \pm 0.091 \mathrm{rad}=r \angle \pm \theta
$$

Work out the second-order parameters:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\zeta=\frac{-\ln r}{\sqrt{\ln ^{2} r+\theta^{2}}}=\frac{-\ln (0.954)}{\sqrt{\ln ^{2}(0.954)+(0.091)^{2}}}=0.46 \\
\omega_{n}=\frac{1}{T} \sqrt{\ln ^{2} r+\theta^{2}}=1.0246
\end{gathered}
$$

Current settling time:

$$
T_{s}=\frac{4}{\zeta \omega_{n}}=8.47
$$

Since we don't care about \%OS lets just change $\omega_{\mathrm{n}}$. To bring the desired settling time down to 4 seconds we modify $\omega_{n}$ and then get the desired pole locations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{4}{\zeta 4}=2.17 \\
z_{1,2}=\left.e^{s T}\right|_{s=s_{1.2}}=e^{-\check{\zeta} \omega_{n} T} e^{ \pm j \omega_{n} T \sqrt{1-\zeta^{2}}}=r e^{ \pm j \theta} \\
\lambda_{1.2}=0.905 / \pm 11.04^{\circ}=0.888 \pm j 0.173
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\lambda_{1.2}=0.905 / \pm 11.04^{\circ}=0.888 \pm j 0.173
$$

The following shows the resulting improvement in system response $\left(x(0)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right]^{\top}\right)$.

## Now we can get the desired characteristic polynomial:

$(z-0.888-j 0.173)(z-0.888+j 0.173)=z^{2}-1.776 z+0.819$
We continue to design the $K$ gain vector in the usual way. The system is not in CCF so we use Bass-Gura and obtain $K=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0.445 & 0.113\end{array}\right]$


