# Localization: Part 6 The Kalman Filter

Computer Science 4766/6912

Department of Computer Science Memorial University of Newfoundland

July 11, 2018

COMP 4766/6912 (MUN)

Localization: Kalman Filter

July 11, 2018 1 / 12

• The Kalman filter is perhaps the most widely-known implementation of Bayes filter

- The Kalman filter is perhaps the most widely-known implementation of Bayes filter
- Numerous applications outside of robot localization

- The Kalman filter is perhaps the most widely-known implementation of Bayes filter
- Numerous applications outside of robot localization
- Based upon a Gaussian belief representation

- The Kalman filter is perhaps the most widely-known implementation of Bayes filter
- Numerous applications outside of robot localization
- Based upon a Gaussian belief representation
  - Belief represented as the mean  $\mu_t$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma_t$  of the Guassian

- The Kalman filter is perhaps the most widely-known implementation of Bayes filter
- Numerous applications outside of robot localization
- Based upon a Gaussian belief representation
  - Belief represented as the mean  $\mu_t$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma_t$  of the Guassian
- Assumes that the system update equations are linear

- The Kalman filter is perhaps the most widely-known implementation of Bayes filter
- Numerous applications outside of robot localization
- Based upon a Gaussian belief representation
  - Belief represented as the mean  $\mu_t$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma_t$  of the Guassian
- Assumes that the system update equations are linear
  - Non-linearity handled by linearization: The Extended Kalman Filter

• "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u*<sub>t</sub> and measurements *z*<sub>t</sub>

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$
- $x_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $z_t$  are all column vectors with n, m, and k elements, respectively

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$
- $x_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $z_t$  are all column vectors with n, m, and k elements, respectively
- We assume that x<sub>t</sub> evolves through the following **linear** update equation,

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$
- $x_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $z_t$  are all column vectors with n, m, and k elements, respectively
- We assume that x<sub>t</sub> evolves through the following **linear** update equation,

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$
- $x_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $z_t$  are all column vectors with n, m, and k elements, respectively
- We assume that x<sub>t</sub> evolves through the following **linear** update equation,

$$x_t = A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \epsilon_t$$

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$
- $x_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $z_t$  are all column vectors with n, m, and k elements, respectively
- We assume that x<sub>t</sub> evolves through the following **linear** update equation,

$$x_t = A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \epsilon_t$$

• A<sub>t</sub> is a matrix of size

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$
- $x_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $z_t$  are all column vectors with n, m, and k elements, respectively
- We assume that x<sub>t</sub> evolves through the following **linear** update equation,

$$x_t = A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \epsilon_t$$

• A<sub>t</sub> is a matrix of size

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$
- $x_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $z_t$  are all column vectors with n, m, and k elements, respectively
- We assume that x<sub>t</sub> evolves through the following **linear** update equation,

$$x_t = A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \epsilon_t$$

•  $A_t$  is a matrix of size  $n \times n$ 

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$
- $x_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $z_t$  are all column vectors with n, m, and k elements, respectively
- We assume that x<sub>t</sub> evolves through the following **linear** update equation,

$$x_t = A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \epsilon_t$$

- $A_t$  is a matrix of size  $n \times n$
- B<sub>t</sub> is a matrix of size

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$
- $x_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $z_t$  are all column vectors with n, m, and k elements, respectively
- We assume that x<sub>t</sub> evolves through the following **linear** update equation,

$$x_t = A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \epsilon_t$$

- $A_t$  is a matrix of size  $n \times n$
- B<sub>t</sub> is a matrix of size

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$
- $x_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $z_t$  are all column vectors with n, m, and k elements, respectively
- We assume that x<sub>t</sub> evolves through the following **linear** update equation,

$$x_t = A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \epsilon_t$$

- $A_t$  is a matrix of size  $n \times n$
- $B_t$  is a matrix of size  $n \times m$

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$
- $x_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $z_t$  are all column vectors with n, m, and k elements, respectively
- We assume that x<sub>t</sub> evolves through the following **linear** update equation,

$$x_t = A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \epsilon_t$$

- $A_t$  is a matrix of size  $n \times n$
- $B_t$  is a matrix of size  $n \times m$
- $\epsilon_t$  is a Gaussian random vector of size

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$
- $x_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $z_t$  are all column vectors with n, m, and k elements, respectively
- We assume that x<sub>t</sub> evolves through the following **linear** update equation,

$$x_t = A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \epsilon_t$$

- $A_t$  is a matrix of size  $n \times n$
- $B_t$  is a matrix of size  $n \times m$
- $\epsilon_t$  is a Gaussian random vector of size

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$
- $x_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $z_t$  are all column vectors with n, m, and k elements, respectively
- We assume that x<sub>t</sub> evolves through the following **linear** update equation,

$$x_t = A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \epsilon_t$$

- $A_t$  is a matrix of size  $n \times n$
- $B_t$  is a matrix of size  $n \times m$
- $\epsilon_t$  is a Gaussian random vector of size n

- "The system" is some process evolving over time, through control inputs *u<sub>t</sub>* and measurements *z<sub>t</sub>*
- It has an unknown state  $x_t$  and unknown past state  $x_{t-1}$
- $x_t$ ,  $u_t$ , and  $z_t$  are all column vectors with n, m, and k elements, respectively
- We assume that x<sub>t</sub> evolves through the following **linear** update equation,

$$x_t = A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \epsilon_t$$

- $A_t$  is a matrix of size  $n \times n$
- $B_t$  is a matrix of size  $n \times m$
- $\epsilon_t$  is a Gaussian random vector of size n
  - Zero mean and covariance matrix  $R_t$

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

•  $C_t$  is a matrix of size

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

•  $C_t$  is a matrix of size

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

•  $C_t$  is a matrix of size  $k \times n$ 

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

- $C_t$  is a matrix of size  $k \times n$
- $\delta_t$  is a Gaussian random vector of size k

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

- $C_t$  is a matrix of size  $k \times n$
- $\delta_t$  is a Gaussian random vector of size k
  - Zero mean and covariance matrix  $Q_t$

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

- $C_t$  is a matrix of size  $k \times n$
- $\delta_t$  is a Gaussian random vector of size k
  - Zero mean and covariance matrix  $Q_t$
- It is necessary for the noise vectors (ε<sub>0</sub>, ε<sub>1</sub>, ..., δ<sub>0</sub>, δ<sub>1</sub>, ..., δ<sub>t</sub>) to be mutually independent.

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

- $C_t$  is a matrix of size  $k \times n$
- $\delta_t$  is a Gaussian random vector of size k
  - Zero mean and covariance matrix  $Q_t$
- It is necessary for the noise vectors (ε<sub>0</sub>, ε<sub>1</sub>, ..., δ<sub>0</sub>, δ<sub>1</sub>, ..., δ<sub>t</sub>) to be mutually independent.

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

- $C_t$  is a matrix of size  $k \times n$
- $\delta_t$  is a Gaussian random vector of size k
  - Zero mean and covariance matrix  $Q_t$
- It is necessary for the noise vectors (ε<sub>0</sub>, ε<sub>1</sub>, ..., δ<sub>0</sub>, δ<sub>1</sub>, ..., δ<sub>t</sub>) to be mutually independent.

 $bel(x_t)$  is represented by mean  $\mu_t$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma_t$ 

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

- $C_t$  is a matrix of size  $k \times n$
- $\delta_t$  is a Gaussian random vector of size k
  - Zero mean and covariance matrix  $Q_t$
- It is necessary for the noise vectors (ε<sub>0</sub>, ε<sub>1</sub>, ..., δ<sub>0</sub>, δ<sub>1</sub>, ..., δ<sub>t</sub>) to be mutually independent.

 $bel(x_t)$  is represented by mean  $\mu_t$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma_t$ 

 The initial belief *bel*(x<sub>0</sub>) must have a Gaussian p.d.f with mean μ<sub>0</sub> and covariance matrix Σ<sub>0</sub>

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

- $C_t$  is a matrix of size  $k \times n$
- $\delta_t$  is a Gaussian random vector of size k
  - Zero mean and covariance matrix  $Q_t$
- It is necessary for the noise vectors (ε<sub>0</sub>, ε<sub>1</sub>, ..., δ<sub>0</sub>, δ<sub>1</sub>, ..., δ<sub>t</sub>) to be mutually independent.

 $bel(x_t)$  is represented by mean  $\mu_t$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma_t$ 

- The initial belief *bel*(x<sub>0</sub>) must have a Gaussian p.d.f with mean μ<sub>0</sub> and covariance matrix Σ<sub>0</sub>
- If the above assumptions hold, the belief  $bel(x_t)$  will always be Gaussian
• Kalman\_Filter
$$(\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t)$$

• Kalman\_Filter
$$(\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t)$$
  
•  $\bar{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t$ 

• Kalman\_Filter
$$(\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t)$$
  
•  $\bar{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t$   
•  $\bar{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$ 

Stalman\_Filter(
$$\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t$$
)
 $\bar{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t$ 
 $\bar{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$ 
 $K_t = \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$ 

Stalman\_Filter(
$$\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t$$
)
 $\bar{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t$ 
 $\bar{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$ 
 $K_t = \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$ 
 $\mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Kalman_Filter}(\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t) \\ & \tilde{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t \\ & \tilde{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t \\ & \mathsf{K}_t = \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1} \\ & \mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + \mathcal{K}_t (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t) \\ & \mathsf{\Sigma}_t = (I - \mathcal{K}_t C_t) \bar{\Sigma}_t \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} & \mathsf{Kalman\_Filter}(\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t) \\ & \quad \bar{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t \\ & \quad \bar{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t \\ & \quad K_t = \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1} \\ & \quad \mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t) \\ & \quad \Sigma_t = (I - K_t C_t) \bar{\Sigma}_t \\ & \quad \text{return } \mu_t, \ \Sigma_t \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \mathsf{Kalman\_Filter}(\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t) \\ \bullet \quad \bar{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t \\ \bullet \quad \bar{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t \\ \bullet \quad K_t = \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1} \\ \bullet \quad \mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t) \\ \bullet \quad \Sigma_t = (I - K_t C_t) \bar{\Sigma}_t \\ \bullet \quad \mathsf{return} \ \mu_t, \ \Sigma_t \end{array}$$

Lines 2 and 3 implement the prediction step, which determines  $\overline{bel}(x_t)$ .

$$\begin{array}{l} & \mathsf{Kalman\_Filter}(\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t) \\ & \tilde{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t \\ & \tilde{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t \\ & \mathsf{K}_t = \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1} \\ & \mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t) \\ & \tilde{\Sigma}_t = (I - K_t C_t) \bar{\Sigma}_t \\ & \mathsf{return} \ \mu_t, \ \Sigma_t \end{array}$$

Lines 2 and 3 implement the prediction step, which determines  $\overline{bel}(x_t)$ .

Lines 4 - 6 implement the measurement update, which incorporates  $z_t$  to determine  $bel(x_t)$ 

• Kalman\_Filter
$$(\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t)$$
  
•  $\bar{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t$   
•  $\bar{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$   
•  $K_t = \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$   
•  $\mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t)$   
•  $\Sigma_t = (I - K_t C_t) \bar{\Sigma}_t$   
• return  $\mu_t$ ,  $\Sigma_t$ 

Lines 2 and 3 implement the prediction step, which determines  $\overline{bel}(x_t)$ .

Lines 4 - 6 implement the measurement update, which incorporates  $z_t$  to determine  $bel(x_t)$ . On line 4 the Kalman gain,  $K_t$ , is computed

• Kalman\_Filter
$$(\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t)$$
  
•  $\bar{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t$   
•  $\bar{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$   
•  $K_t = \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$   
•  $\mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t)$   
•  $\Sigma_t = (I - K_t C_t) \bar{\Sigma}_t$   
• return  $\mu_t$ ,  $\Sigma_t$ 

Lines 2 and 3 implement the prediction step, which determines  $\overline{bel}(x_t)$ .

Lines 4 - 6 implement the measurement update, which incorporates  $z_t$  to determine  $bel(x_t)$ . On line 4 the Kalman gain,  $K_t$ , is computed.  $K_t$  specifies the degree of trust placed in  $z_t$  over  $\overline{bel}(x_t)$ .

On line 5 the actual measurement  $z_t$  is compared with the **measurement** prediction  $C_t \bar{\mu}_t$ 

• Kalman\_Filter
$$(\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t)$$
  
•  $\bar{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t$   
•  $\bar{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$   
•  $K_t = \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$   
•  $\mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t)$   
•  $\Sigma_t = (I - K_t C_t) \bar{\Sigma}_t$   
• return  $\mu_t$ ,  $\Sigma_t$ 

Lines 2 and 3 implement the prediction step, which determines  $\overline{bel}(x_t)$ .

Lines 4 - 6 implement the measurement update, which incorporates  $z_t$  to determine  $bel(x_t)$ . On line 4 the Kalman gain,  $K_t$ , is computed.  $K_t$  specifies the degree of trust placed in  $z_t$  over  $\overline{bel}(x_t)$ .

On line 5 the actual measurement  $z_t$  is compared with the **measurement** prediction  $C_t \bar{\mu}_t$ . This difference is known as the **innovation** 

• Kalman\_Filter
$$(\mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1}, u_t, z_t)$$
  
•  $\bar{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t$   
•  $\bar{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$   
•  $K_t = \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$   
•  $\mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t)$   
•  $\Sigma_t = (I - K_t C_t) \bar{\Sigma}_t$   
• return  $\mu_t$ ,  $\Sigma_t$ 

Lines 2 and 3 implement the prediction step, which determines  $\overline{bel}(x_t)$ .

Lines 4 - 6 implement the measurement update, which incorporates  $z_t$  to determine  $bel(x_t)$ . On line 4 the Kalman gain,  $K_t$ , is computed.  $K_t$  specifies the degree of trust placed in  $z_t$  over  $\overline{bel}(x_t)$ .

On line 5 the actual measurement  $z_t$  is compared with the **measurement** prediction  $C_t \bar{\mu}_t$ . This difference is known as the **innovation**. It describes how different  $z_t$  is from what was expected.

COMP 4766/6912 (MUN)

Localization: Kalman Filter

### Example: Simple 1-D Robot

We apply the Kalman filter to a simple robot living in a 1-D world

We apply the Kalman filter to a simple robot living in a 1-D world. The "state vector" is just the scalar position x

We apply the Kalman filter to a simple robot living in a 1-D world. The "state vector" is just the scalar position x. The matrices  $A_t$ ,  $B_t$ , and  $C_t$  are assumed constant and 1-D—they reduce to scalars a, b, and c

We assume that unless it is commanded to move, the robot will maintain its current position: a = 1

We assume that unless it is commanded to move, the robot will maintain its current position: a = 1

The control signal  $u_t$  is the distance the robot is commanded to move: b = 1 (the robot obeys and moves the commanded distance)

We assume that unless it is commanded to move, the robot will maintain its current position: a = 1

The control signal  $u_t$  is the distance the robot is commanded to move: b = 1 (the robot obeys and moves the commanded distance)

The robot has a position sensor which gives 1-D position directly: c = 1

We assume that unless it is commanded to move, the robot will maintain its current position: a = 1

The control signal  $u_t$  is the distance the robot is commanded to move: b = 1 (the robot obeys and moves the commanded distance)

The robot has a position sensor which gives 1-D position directly: c = 1

The robot begins with  $\mu_0 = 0, \sigma_0 = 0...$ 

$$x_t = 0 : u_t = 0, z = 0$$



$$x_t = 1 : u_t = 1, z = 1$$





$$x_t = 1 : u_t = 1, z = 1$$





$$x_t = 2: u_t = 1, z = 4(error)$$

 $x_t = 1 : u_t = 1, z = 1$ Measuremen New Belief

8

$$x_t = 0 : u_t = 0, z = 0$$



$$x_t = 2: u_t = 1, z = 4$$
(error)



$$x_t = 1 : u_t = 1, z = 1$$







$$x_t = 1 : u_t = 1, z = 1$$







Notice when  $x_t = 2$  we get an erroneous sensor value of z = 4





Notice when  $x_t = 2$  we get an erroneous sensor value of z = 4. The new belief is closer to 2 than 4 because  $\bar{\sigma} < q$ 

Consider a robot constrained to move along a 1-D track

Consider a robot constrained to move along a 1-D track. We wish to track the robot's position and speed over time

Consider a robot constrained to move along a 1-D track. We wish to track the robot's position and speed over time. The state vector is,

Consider a robot constrained to move along a 1-D track. We wish to track the robot's position and speed over time. The state vector is,

$$x_t = \left[ \begin{array}{c} p_t \\ v_t \end{array} \right]$$

where  $p_t$  is position and  $v_t$  is velocity

Consider a robot constrained to move along a 1-D track. We wish to track the robot's position and speed over time. The state vector is,

$$x_t = \left[ \begin{array}{c} p_t \\ v_t \end{array} \right]$$

where  $p_t$  is position and  $v_t$  is velocity. The control input,  $u_t$ , is a *force* applied on the robot, which has a mass of m

Consider a robot constrained to move along a 1-D track. We wish to track the robot's position and speed over time. The state vector is,

$$x_t = \left[ \begin{array}{c} p_t \\ v_t \end{array} \right]$$

where  $p_t$  is position and  $v_t$  is velocity. The control input,  $u_t$ , is a *force* applied on the robot, which has a mass of m. From Newton's second law we know that *force* = mass  $\times$  acceleration. Thus,

Consider a robot constrained to move along a 1-D track. We wish to track the robot's position and speed over time. The state vector is,

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \left[ egin{array}{c} p_t \\ v_t \end{array} 
ight]$$

where  $p_t$  is position and  $v_t$  is velocity. The control input,  $u_t$ , is a *force* applied on the robot, which has a mass of m. From Newton's second law we know that *force* = mass  $\times$  acceleration. Thus,

$$u = m \frac{dv}{dt}$$

which means that the acceleration  $\frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{u}{m}$
# Example: Another 1-D Robot, but with 2-D State

Consider a robot constrained to move along a 1-D track. We wish to track the robot's position and speed over time. The state vector is,

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \left[ egin{array}{c} p_t \\ v_t \end{array} 
ight]$$

where  $p_t$  is position and  $v_t$  is velocity. The control input,  $u_t$ , is a *force* applied on the robot, which has a mass of m. From Newton's second law we know that *force* = mass  $\times$  acceleration. Thus,

$$u = m \frac{dv}{dt}$$

which means that the acceleration  $\frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{u}{m}$ . We will assume that the time period between discrete updates,  $\Delta t$ , is sufficiently small such that,

# Example: Another 1-D Robot, but with 2-D State

Consider a robot constrained to move along a 1-D track. We wish to track the robot's position and speed over time. The state vector is,

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \left[ egin{array}{c} p_t \\ v_t \end{array} 
ight]$$

where  $p_t$  is position and  $v_t$  is velocity. The control input,  $u_t$ , is a *force* applied on the robot, which has a mass of m. From Newton's second law we know that *force* = mass × acceleration. Thus,

$$u = m \frac{dv}{dt}$$

which means that the acceleration  $\frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{u}{m}$ . We will assume that the time period between discrete updates,  $\Delta t$ , is sufficiently small such that,

$$rac{dv}{dt}pproxrac{v_t-v_{t-1}}{\Delta t}$$

$$p_t = p_{t-1} + \Delta t v_{t-1} + \text{Noise}$$

$$p_t = p_{t-1} + \Delta t v_{t-1} + \text{Noise}$$
$$v_t = v_{t-1} + \frac{\Delta t}{m} u_t + \text{Noise}$$

$$p_t = p_{t-1} + \Delta t v_{t-1} + \text{Noise}$$
$$v_t = v_{t-1} + \frac{\Delta t}{m} u_t + \text{Noise}$$

In order to apply the Kalman filter, we must write these equations together in matrix form,

$$p_t = p_{t-1} + \Delta t v_{t-1} + \text{Noise}$$
$$v_t = v_{t-1} + \frac{\Delta t}{m} u_t + \text{Noise}$$

In order to apply the Kalman filter, we must write these equations together in matrix form,

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \Delta t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{t-1} \\ v_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\Delta t}{m} \end{bmatrix} u_t + \epsilon_t$$

$$p_t = p_{t-1} + \Delta t v_{t-1} + \text{Noise}$$
$$v_t = v_{t-1} + \frac{\Delta t}{m} u_t + \text{Noise}$$

In order to apply the Kalman filter, we must write these equations together in matrix form,

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \Delta t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{t-1} \\ v_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\Delta t}{m} \end{bmatrix} u_t + \epsilon_t$$

where  $\epsilon_t$  represents additive Gaussian noise with covariance matrix  $R_t$ 

$$p_t = p_{t-1} + \Delta t v_{t-1} + \text{Noise}$$
$$v_t = v_{t-1} + \frac{\Delta t}{m} u_t + \text{Noise}$$

In order to apply the Kalman filter, we must write these equations together in matrix form,

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \Delta t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{t-1} \\ v_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\Delta t}{m} \end{bmatrix} u_t + \epsilon_t$$

where  $\epsilon_t$  represents additive Gaussian noise with covariance matrix  $R_t$ . This equation is now in the standard form required by the Kalman filter:

$$p_t = p_{t-1} + \Delta t v_{t-1} + \text{Noise}$$
$$v_t = v_{t-1} + \frac{\Delta t}{m} u_t + \text{Noise}$$

In order to apply the Kalman filter, we must write these equations together in matrix form,

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \Delta t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{t-1} \\ v_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\Delta t}{m} \end{bmatrix} u_t + \epsilon_t$$

where  $\epsilon_t$  represents additive Gaussian noise with covariance matrix  $R_t$ . This equation is now in the standard form required by the Kalman filter:

$$x_t = A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \epsilon_t$$

$$p_t = p_{t-1} + \Delta t v_{t-1} + \text{Noise}$$
$$v_t = v_{t-1} + \frac{\Delta t}{m} u_t + \text{Noise}$$

In order to apply the Kalman filter, we must write these equations together in matrix form,

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \Delta t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{t-1} \\ v_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\Delta t}{m} \end{bmatrix} u_t + \epsilon_t$$

where  $\epsilon_t$  represents additive Gaussian noise with covariance matrix  $R_t$ . This equation is now in the standard form required by the Kalman filter:

$$x_t = A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \epsilon_t$$

Assume that this robot is also equipped with a position sensor (subject to noise of course)...

COMP 4766/6912 (MUN)

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

In our case the matrix  $C_t$  is quite simple,

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

In our case the matrix  $C_t$  is quite simple,

$$z_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} + \delta_t$$

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

In our case the matrix  $C_t$  is quite simple,

$$z_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} + \delta_t$$

where  $\delta_t$  represents Gaussian noise with covariance matrix  $Q_t$ 

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

In our case the matrix  $C_t$  is quite simple,

$$z_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} + \delta_t$$

where  $\delta_t$  represents Gaussian noise with covariance matrix  $Q_t$ . In this case,  $Q_t$  is just a variance

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

In our case the matrix  $C_t$  is quite simple,

$$z_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} + \delta_t$$

where  $\delta_t$  represents Gaussian noise with covariance matrix  $Q_t$ . In this case,  $Q_t$  is just a variance.

The Kalman filter can now be applied

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

In our case the matrix  $C_t$  is quite simple,

$$z_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} + \delta_t$$

where  $\delta_t$  represents Gaussian noise with covariance matrix  $Q_t$ . In this case,  $Q_t$  is just a variance.

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

In our case the matrix  $C_t$  is quite simple,

$$z_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} + \delta_t$$

where  $\delta_t$  represents Gaussian noise with covariance matrix  $Q_t$ . In this case,  $Q_t$  is just a variance.

• Covariance matrix of motion equation: 
$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.05 \\ 0.05 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

In our case the matrix  $C_t$  is quite simple,

$$z_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} + \delta_t$$

where  $\delta_t$  represents Gaussian noise with covariance matrix  $Q_t$ . In this case,  $Q_t$  is just a variance.

- Covariance matrix of motion equation:  $R = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.05 \\ 0.05 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$
- Variance of measurement equation: Q = 0.5

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

In our case the matrix  $C_t$  is quite simple,

$$z_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} + \delta_t$$

where  $\delta_t$  represents Gaussian noise with covariance matrix  $Q_t$ . In this case,  $Q_t$  is just a variance.

- Covariance matrix of motion equation:  $R = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.05 \\ 0.05 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$
- Variance of measurement equation: Q = 0.5
- Mass of robot: m = 1

$$z_t = C_t x_t + \delta_t$$

In our case the matrix  $C_t$  is quite simple,

$$z_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_t \\ v_t \end{bmatrix} + \delta_t$$

where  $\delta_t$  represents Gaussian noise with covariance matrix  $Q_t$ . In this case,  $Q_t$  is just a variance.

- Covariance matrix of motion equation:  $R = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.05 \\ 0.05 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$
- Variance of measurement equation: Q = 0.5
- Mass of robot: m = 1
- Time step:  $\Delta t = 1$

### DEMO IN MATLAB

• Kalman filters are highly efficient

- Kalman filters are highly efficient
  - Cost of matrix inversion on line 4:  $O(k^{2.376})$

- Kalman filters are highly efficient
  - Cost of matrix inversion on line 4:  $O(k^{2.376})$
  - Cost of multiplying  $n \times n$  matrices:  $O(n^2)$

- Kalman filters are highly efficient
  - Cost of matrix inversion on line 4:  $O(k^{2.376})$
  - Cost of multiplying  $n \times n$  matrices:  $O(n^2)$
- Optimal for linear Gaussian systems...

- Kalman filters are highly efficient
  - Cost of matrix inversion on line 4:  $O(k^{2.376})$
  - Cost of multiplying  $n \times n$  matrices:  $O(n^2)$
- Optimal for linear Gaussian systems...
- Unfortunately, most robotic systems are non-linear!

- Kalman filters are highly efficient
  - Cost of matrix inversion on line 4:  $O(k^{2.376})$
  - Cost of multiplying  $n \times n$  matrices:  $O(n^2)$
- Optimal for linear Gaussian systems...
- Unfortunately, most robotic systems are non-linear!
- For non-linear systems you can linearize and apply the Extended Kalman Filter